

MEETING MINUTES

TO: The File

FROM: Brian Piippo
Project Manager

DATE: June 3, 2019

SUBJECT: Minutes of the Meeting
Held May 24, 2019
Mt Stuart Elementary School & Ellensburg Elementary School
Integrus Project No. 21849.00 & 21850.00

117 S. Main St., Suite 100
Seattle, WA 98104
206.628.3137 | office
206.628.3138 | fax

10 S. Cedar Street
Spokane, WA 99201
PO Box 1482 (99210)
509.838.8681 | office
509.838.2194 | fax

Parties In Attendance:

Kristine Keller, Damon Gardella, Clancy Welsh, Nathan Sieler, Steven Clark, Brian Piippo

The following is a record of the author's understanding of comments made and direction given. Written clarifications or corrections should be directed to Integrus Architecture within seven days of the date of publication of these minutes.

Weekly CM meeting – Integrus Architecture SpoLargeConf.

- I. Review site plans with AHBL for SD book.
 - A. Both the new Elementary Site and Mt. Stuart sites were reviewed.
 1. Ideation images from AHBL for the SD book are needed by 6/7/19.
 2. Sketchup model and Ed Spec need to be forwarded to AHBL for review.
 3. Narrative is due 6/10/19.
 4. Bus loop at new elementary to be closer to gymnasium, and student drop and parking from Helena.
- II. Review of Mechanical systems
 - A. Owner requested addition of Heat Pump system.
 1. Add for ELCCA
 2. No boilers
 3. DX – Electr4ic rooftop air handlers. Heat pump with backup electric heat.
 - B. Ducted VRF system
 1. Ducts above ceiling in hall.
 2. Require flat roof or service yards.
 - C. Four pipe fan coils.
 1. System is familiar to the school.

D. Briza fan coil and DOAS system.

III. SEPA response

A. Formal response letters

1. Environmental commission noted several items.
2. Consider response to commission in presentation format.
3. Responses due 5/29/19.

IV. Civil early site package.

A. Notice to intent.

1. SWIP Monitoring must be ready prior to notice of intent.
2. Early Site Package
 - a. Canal Bridge
 - b. Cora subgrade
 - c. Trail crossing
 - d. Topsoil cut and fill for the building pad and playfields.
3. Utilities – Determined to go into the full package.

V. Roofing material discussion.

A. Composition shingles verses TPO

1. Assumed cost: Composition shingles @ \$8/sf.
2. EPDM or TOP @ \$10/sf.

j:\2018\21849.01 new elementary school prelim. studies\doc\4-1 meeting minutes (design phases)\2019_0524 cm.docx

Attachments:

Routing: